

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,642	SASHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanhha Pham	2813	

All Participants:

(1) Thanhha Pham.

(2) Darrin Auito.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 13-17, 20

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 02/21/2006, Examiner proposed unobviousnes of claim 5 in combination with claim 1. Examiner suggested to put limitation of claim 5 to claim 1 to overcome art of record and if independent claim 1 is allowable, some valid non-elected dependent claims can be allowed. However, some amendment are also needed to have proper format of dependent claims if claim 1 is allowed. (see attachment for details being faxed to Applicant's Representative for review). On 02/24/2006, Applicant asked for Office Action to send out. Examiner sends out Office Action with rejected claims 1-4, 7-11 and objected claims 5 & 15 for Applicant to review. Claims 12-14 and 16-21 stand withdrawn from consideration. .